Preserved

p 20E

App. F. IX-4

1929. (V.R)

File No. 36-29

Serial Nos. 3 & 4

Previous References

V p 36-29 81-2

Later References

Vp 31-31-1

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 30

SUBJECT

Continuance of the V.P. clerks during 1930-31.

4 To, The Revenue Commissioner the Precidents of Dis' B's the Deputy Comm^rs of Districts and the Comptroller to Govt. No. L 6626-43 dt 12.3.30

Directs that the V.P. clerks be continued for another year during 1930-31

29/3/30

4049.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

V/P File No. 36 of 1929.

Serial No.

Letter No C221/29-30 dated 8th Feb 1930 from the Revenue Commr, Mysore, forwarding reports of the Deputy Co in original in the matter of making the appointments of V.P clerks in Taluk and Sub Taluks permanent and of revising their scale of pay and reco mending sanction to the appointm for three years to start with on th same scale of pay fixed to the othe officials in Taluks in G.O. No R 1305 LR 105-23-14 dt 10/13-8-29.

N

The Rev Commr was requ to report whether the appointments of V P clerks may be made permanent an whether any change in the scale of their pay is necessary and he recommends that the post of the clerks may be temporarily sanc for three years and their scale of pay fixed at Rs 25-1-30-1-40 a in the case of other officials in Taluk

R

sanctioned in G.O. No R 1305-14/L 105-23-14 dt 10/13-8-29. Submitted for orders.

NS 17/2/30. The scale sanctioned for Taluk offices has not yet come into effect. The question of revis the scale may perhaps be c

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

4024/9

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 4 - MAR. 1930 No. 8364

CHIEF SECRETARY 20 FEB. 1930

dered later on and that of continuing the temporary establishment for a year may perhaps be considered for sanction.

17/2/30 Ch Secy 19/2

The continuance of these appointments for another year, on the existing scale of pay may be sanctioned.

Secd Member 24/2
Hon'ble Secy

27-2-30

esd 1/3/30

Dewan 3/3

5/3

5/3

R 3044
D. 25/2/30
11199
27/2

6660
1/3

WD 066—GPB—30.000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G/P File No. 36 of 1929.

Serial No	
	Draft G.O. submitted 7.3.30 10/3
	Financial sanction has agreed. Draft-Gazetted 12/3
4	To, The Rev Commr, Deputy Commrs of districts and Presidents of dist Bds. The Comptroller to Govt. No. L 6626-43/d 12.3.30. Directs that the Panchayet clerks may be continued for another year during 1930.31.

GEN. REV. 24/3/30 L. S. S.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

No. C 221/29-30

Dated, Bangalore, 8th February 1930.

CHIEF SECRETARY 10 FEB. 1930

From

Rajakarya Pravina
P.G.D'Souza.Esq.B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECT. 4049 12 FEB. 1930 L. S. G. BRANCH.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

VP 36-29-3

SIR,

Adverting to para 3 of Government order No.L.1678-95/V-P-36-29-2 dated the 31st August 1929,calling for a report as to whether the appointments of Village Panchayet clerks in Taluk and Sub taluk offices may be made permanent and if so whether any change in the scale of their pay is necessary, I have the honour to forward herewith in original the reports of the Deputy Commissioners of several Districts,furnishing their views in the matter. It will be seen that all the Deputy Commissioners except of Tumkur suggest the appointments being made permanent. The Deputy Commissioner,Tumkur suggests two clerks for each taluk office for a period of three years to start with.

As regards the scale of pay,except the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District who considers that no change is called for, all the others are of opinion that the pay of the posts should be revised. Varying grades have been suggested by the Deputy Commissioners but the majority of them are for fixing the scale of pay as 25-1-30 - 1- 40 as has been done in the case of other clerks of the Taluk office in Government order No.R.1305-14/L.R. 105-28-104 dated 10/13th August 1929. I am also of the opinion that this scale may be adopted and considering the fact that the village Panchayet work is increasing steadily in the Taluk Offices, the posts may be sanctioned for the present for three years to

begin with to be made permanent ultimately.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

G.R,

IN ALL FUTURE COMMUNICATIONS
PLEASE QUOTE --
No. L.B-3-1346/29-30
ENC.

TEL. ADDRESS-"DEPCOM."
POST BOX NO. 34.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 11th January *19* 30

HD
WC 221 1170 3

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE. No. 111 To JAN 15 1930

FROM B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~A. K. SYED TAJ PEERAN, Esq., B.A.,~~
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To Rajakaryapravina
P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

SUBJECT:—

With reference to your letter No.C.221/29-30 dated 21st September 1929 regarding the continuance of the Panchayat clerks during the year 1929-30 and enquiring whether the establishment may be made permanent, I have the honor to state that these appointments may be made permanent as the Village Panchayat work which is now in its initial stage is growing day by day. In order to keep a vigilen eye on the collections and expenditure of Village Panchayat funds, it is essential that a whole time clerk should be kept in each Taluk. The pay of these clerks may be fixed as per the revised scale of pay of Revenue Department as sanctioned in Government orde No.R.1305-14/L.R.105-28-104 dated 10/13th August 1929.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dwarakanath

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.

In all future correspondence please quote this
No. C. 396/29-30.
Encls.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated, Kolar, the 29th December *19* 29.

HRC 221
29-30

FROM

A. V. RAMANATHAN, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
No. CCCC
JAN 2 1930
IN MYSORE.

Rajakarya Pravina
P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Continuance or otherwise of the Panchayat Clerks in the Kolar District.

Adverting to your docket No. C. 221/29-30 dated the 11th December 1929, I have the honour to state that the posts of the Panchayat Clerks should be made permanent and that their pay should be fixed at Rs. 25-1-30/30-1-40, as is proposed to be done in the case of the Taluk Officials.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient Servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

SRS.

D. C. T.]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Kam C-58-29-30

Dated, Tumkur, 22nd Octr: *192* 9

FROM
6554

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE. No. 111 OCT 24 1929

1728
C221
29-30

P.G.D'Souza, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your No.221/29-30 dated 23-9-1929, calling for my proposals whether the posts of Village Panchayet clerks of the Taluk offices may be made permanent and whether any change in the scale of their pay is necessary, I have the honor to state that the work is too heavy for one clerk in the taluk offices and considering the responsibility and nature of work, two clerks for each taluk on gumastha's pay in the revision scale i.e. Rs 25-1-30-1-40 may be sanctioned for three years in the first instance.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

24/10/29

AD. 22/20.

d. Dr

No. 175
29-30

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 14th January 1930.
18

FROM K.V.Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
~~THE~~ DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

W 2235 C.221 29-30 H0

To
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No. C.221/29-30 cated the 21st/ 23rd September 1929 calling for my opinion as to whether th appointments of Panchayet Clerks may be made permanent and if so whether any change in the scale of their pay is necessary, I have the honour to state that it is necessary that these appointments should be made permanent and that no change in the scale of their pay is called for.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Anantaraman

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Tel. Address:—Depcom. Hassan.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT,

Dated, Hassan, the 11th January 1930.

In reply please refer to
No. R.O.C. 1028/26
Encl......

From

C-221/29-30

S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To 1513

Rajakaryapravina

P. G. D' Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.L.1678-95/V.P. 36-29-2 dated the 31st August 1929, and your docket No.C.221/29-30 dated the 23rd September 1929 calling for an expression of my opinion as to whether the appointment of Village Panchayet clerks may be made permanent and whether any change in the scale of their pay is necessary, I have the honour to state that the Village Panchayet Clerks of Taluk offices are very necessary and their appointments (1) may be made permanent, the present incumbents who are the thrown out Taluk Board Clerks and who have no other permanent place being confirmed in their posts. In view of the fact that the scale of pay of the Taluk gumasthas has since been revised, I am also strongly of opinion that the scale of pay of these Village Panchayet clerks too should be revised, so as to be parallel with (2) that of the other Taluk gumasthas, the increments due up-to-date according to the revised rate being also given to them for the service rendered by them as Village Panchayet Clerks so far.

2. I may mention that the work of the Village Panchayet branch of Taluk offices is considerably heavy. Besides the regular duties connected with the Village Panchayet, the compilation of vital statistics, correspondence relating to the Economic Developments, District Board, Etc., which were formerly being attended to by the Taluk Board Clerks have also to be attended to in this branch, and one clerk cannot possibly cope with all these items of

of work satisfactorily. I think that an attender on Rs. 15/- is very necessary to help the Village Panchayet Clerk and may be sanctioned.

3. It may not be out of place to mention in this connection that a whole time clerk is also necessary for Village Panchayet work in the District Office. At present, there is no establishment sanctioned and the work is being looked after by one of the clerks of the General Establishment along with the Municipal and District Board branches of work. In view of the very heavy work devolving on the clerk, ~~REEE~~ I recommended the grant of a special allowance of Rs. 10/- to this clerk, vide my letter No.C.1029-26 dated 9-3-1929 but ~~M~~ this has not yet been sanctioned. I once more repeat my said recommendation and request early sanction to the same.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Narasimhan

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District.

I.S.D.

Urgent.

No. L.Dis...S.1.C. 861/29-30.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Dated, Shimoga, 26th December 19 29

C 221 H.S.S. 29-30

FROM

M. N. BALA RAJ URS, ESQR., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

0869 1877 Ray

To

RajakaryaPravina,

P.G.D'Souza Esquire, B.A., B.L., 16890

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No C 221/29-30 dated 13th December 29 calling for report whether the appointments of Panchayet clerks may be made Permanent etc, I have the honour to state that the Panchayet organisation is of a recent origin and requires to be systematized and carefully watched. Neither the Panchayet Inspectors nor the Revenue Inspectors are provided with a clerk to look after the Panchayet work. All the ministerial work of issuing instructions and supervision will have to be done by the Taluk office staff which is retrenched by one hand according to the recent reorganization scheme. Besides there are many returns and Statements to be compiled and the accounts of the Panchayets also have to be checked and this work alone requires a whole time clerk. Also the Panchayet work is more or less a specialized one requiring a knowledge of accounts and special rules made in that behalf and unless the tenure of appointment is made Permanent, no clerk with the required qualification would like to remain in the job, and as it is never likely that village Panchayet work will lessen, I am of opinion, that it is quite necessary to make the appointment a permanent one. As regards the scale of the pay of the appointment it may be brought into line with the revised scales of pay sanctioned for the clerks of the Revenue Department starting however with Rs30/- in order to attract the right kind of men for the work and

and keep them for a sufficient length of time for them to prove useful.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

B. Sreenivasa

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

G.R.N.

D.C.B.]

No. A. 2. 516-29.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

Enc.

Dated, Chikmagalur, 22nd November 192 9.

From

H.V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A.,B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To C221/29-30
Rajakarya Pravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
Bangalore.

Sir,

Re:- Appointment of Village Panchayet clerks.

With reference to your docket No.C.221/29-30 dated 23rd September 1929, requesting to report whether the appointments of the Panchayet clerks in the taluks and Sub taluk may be made permanent and if so, whether any change in the scale of their pay is necessary, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, Tarikere and the Amildars and the Deputy Amildar in this District who were asked to give their opinion in the matter have all recommended that the existing Village Panchayet establishment in the taluk and Sub taluk offices may be made permanent as the increasing volume of work noted below cannot be tacked on to the Revenue staff. Not only has a general check over the Panchayets in the shape of scrutinising meeting proceedings, budgets, bills and estimates, elections and nomination etc. to be maintained, but also the heavy work of watching jamabandi and collect-ion in Panchayets has now to be attended to in the taluk offices. In the Revenue side of the taluk, under the subjectwar system, two gumastas have to attend to the D.C.B. of Land Revenue, whereas under the Panchayet system there are as many ~~as~~ villages as there are under Land revenue and ledgers have to be maintained to watch D.C.B. In addition to these, he has to attend to the correspondence, preparation and timely submission of the accounts to the higher office. In agree-

ing

agreeing with the opinion of the Sub Division Officer and the Amildars, I recommend that the appointments may be made permanent.

2. Regarding the scale of pay to be fixed for these officials I am of opinion that these clerks may be placed on an equal footing with the senior clerks in the Taluk Revenue establishment and the scale of pay fixed at Rs 30-1-40 in the taluk offices and at Rs 25-1-30 in the Sub taluk offices.

3. This scale of pay while affording an opportunity to secure the services of efficient men for this important work also allows free scope for the interchange of officials from one section to another.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your moat obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Tel. Address :—Depcom.
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No.V.P.C.776/29-30.
Enclosures.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated, Chitaldrug, 17th December *1929*.

FROM

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, ESQ.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

10265

REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Rajakarya Pravina
P.G.D'Souza Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

C221
1929-30

Sir,

In reply to your docket No.C.221/29-30 dated 23rd September 1929 calling for a report as to whether the appointments of Village Panchayat Clerks may be made permanent and whether any change in the scale of their pay is necessary, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The appointments of Village Panchayat Clerks was sanctioned in G.O.No.L.3636-704/L.B. 119-26-1 dated 20th December 1926 for a period of five months in the 1st instance and this is being continue year after year as per Government orders noted in the margin.

G.O.No.351-69 V.P. 2-27-2 dated 18-7-1927
G.O.No.L.711-29/V.P.63-27-5 dated 21/24-7-1928
G.O.No.1378-95/V.P.36-29-2 dated 31-8-1929.

As a result of actual working of the Panchayats for the last three years it is seen that the work relating to them involves a good deal of correspondenc between the Taluk and the Panchayat Offices and also higher offices. I consider it very necessary to make these appointments permanent. I therefore, recommend that the posts of the Village Panchayat Cler may kindly be ordered to be made permanent in Taluk Offices, ~~xxx~~ retrospective effect being given in the case of officials who were appointed as such in persuance of Government Order No.3636-704/ L.B. 119-26-1 dated 20th December 1926.

As regards their pay, I would suggest that a scale of pay of Rs 30 - 1 - 35 in the case of a Taluk and Rs 20 - 1 - 25 in the case of a Sub Taluk may be fixed for this appointment.

In this connection I would also bring to your kind notice the necessity for sanctioning a clerk for the Panchayat work in

in the District Office on a pay of R. 40 - 2 - 50 as the work relating to this branch is increasing year after year and requires the services of a clerk exclusively.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug Di

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Date of issue 12-3-1930

Signed by Rw. T.K.S Iyer

Section VP

File No. 36-29

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read— G.O No. d 351-69/VP-2-27-2 dated 18th. July 1927 directing the continuance till the end of 1927-28 of the temporary establishment noted below for village Panchayet works in the State:

(1) One Panchayet Inspector on a pay of Rs 60/- plus horse allowance of Rs 15/- for each Taluk and on a pay of Rs 40/- plus horse allowance of Rs 15/- to each Sub Taluk.

(2) One panchayet clerk on Rs 30/- for each Taluk and on Rs 20/- for each Sub Taluk

Order Thereon No.

2. G.O. No. L 1648-9/VP 36-29-2 dated 31.8.29 directing the continuance of the village Panchayet clerks and Sub Taluks during the years 1929-30.

3. Letter No C/221-29-30 dated

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ? IV

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

8-2-30 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore recommending that the posts of the Village Panchayet clerks in Taluks and Sub Talukes may be sanctioned for three years to begin with on the same scale of pay viz Rs 25-1-30-1-40 as that fixed in the case of other officials in Talukes and in G.O. No. R 1305-14/LR. R. 105-28-1/4ff 10/13-8-1929

Order No. L 6626-43 dy 12-3-1930

Govt are pleased to direct that the Panchayet clerks in Talukes and Sub Talukes be continued for another year during 1930-31 on the ~~present~~ existing scale of pay sanctioned in G.O. No. L 351-69/VP 2-27-2 dated 18-4-1927. read above. The Comptroller is requested to make necessary provision in the State Budget for 1930-31 under "30 grants for public Improvements, 5. Rural Reconstruction".

List of enclosures.

Jr. Chief Secretary to Govt.

Copied by

Examined by

7-3-30.

To.

The Revenue Commr
The Comptroller through Financial Secy
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The Presidents of Dist Boards.

WD 1883—GPS—1 000.00—21-12-29

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Government Order No.L.351-69/V.P.2-27-2 dated 18th July 1927, directing the continuance till the end of 1927-28 of the temporary establishment noted below for Village Panchayet work in the State.

(1) One Panchayet Inspector on a pay of Rs.60/- plus horse allowance of Rs.15/- for each taluk and on a pay of Rs.40/- plus horse allowance of Rs.15/- to each Sub Taluk.

(2) One Panchayet Clerk on Rs.30/- for each Taluk and on Rs.20/- for each Sub Taluk.

2. Government Order No.L.1673-9/V.P.28-29-2 dated 31st August 1929, directing the continuance of the Village Panchayet clerks of each of the Taluks and Sub Taluks during the year 1929-30.

3. Letter No.C/221/29-30 dated 8th February 1930, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, recommending that the posts of the Village Panchayets Clerks in Taluks and Sub Taluks may be sanctioned for three years to begin with on the same scale of pay viz., Rs.25-1-30-1-40/- as that fixed in the case of other Officials in Taluks in Government Order No.R.1305-14/L.R.106-28-104 dated 10/13th August 1929.

ORDER NO.L.

Dated, Bangalore, the 1st March 1930.

Government are pleased to direct that the Panchayet Clerks in Taluks and Sub Taluks be continued for another year during 1930-31 on the existing scale of pay sanctioned in Government Order No.L.351-69/V.P.2-27-2 dated 18th July 1927 read above. The Comptroller is requested to make necessary provision in the State Budget for 1930-31 under "30 Grants for Public Improvements, 5 Rural Reconstruction".

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
" Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, THROUGH the FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT.
" Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
" Presidents of District Boards.

K.M.R.10.
10/3.